

United States Patent and Trademark Office

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	NO. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/604,908	08/26/2003		Darren Lane Anand	BUR920030088US1	1907	
45831	7590	11/09/2006	EXAM	EXAMINER		
DILLON & 8911 N. CA		L LLP TEXAS HWY.,	RADOSEVICH, STEVEN D			
SUITE 2110			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
AUSTIN, TX 78759				2138		

DATE MAILED: 11/09/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/604,908	ANAND ET AL	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Steven D. Radosevich	2138	

	Steven D. Radosevich	2138	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>20 October 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	the same day as filing a Notice of ving replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o	Appeal. To avoid aba idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final reject	ion.
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Off	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in berappeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see NO w); tter form for appeal by materially re	TE below); ducing or simplifying	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1			(PTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(FTOL-324).
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	·	·	_
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13 and 14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-12 and 15-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an o	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	A bafasa as as Aba data of Elian a N	ation of Annual will be	
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attac	hed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	it does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☒ Other: See Continuation Sheet.	(F10/36/00) Fapel No(S)		

Continuation of 13. Other: Applicant's arguments/remarks and claim amendments filed 10/20/06 have been noted. However, a new search is required as per the claim amendments to determine patentability of the newly amended claims as it was noted within the phone interview on 10/20/06 with the applicant (Eustace P. Isidore), which is indicated within the Interview Summary mailed to the applicant on 10/27/06. As per applicant's remarks about the rejections of claims 1-12 and 15-20, the examiner would like to point out while the M.P.E.P. (see M.P.E.P. 2111) requires that the examiner give "the broadest reasonable interpretation" the claims "consistent with the specification" it also warns that "reading a claim in light of the specification, to thereby interpret limitations explicitly recited in the claim, is a quite different thing from reading limitations of the specification into a claim, to thereby narrow the scope of the claim by implicitly adding disclosed limitations which have no express basis in the claim." The claims must stand on their own. The Examiner would like to point out that the claims were fully examined, as there were understood at the time of examination. .

2